UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
v. Emeterio Rueda-Rodriguez	Case No. 1:13-cr-00184-RHB
Defendant	
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reform hat the defendant be detained pending trial.	n Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
Part I – Findi	ngs of Fact
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 1 a federal offense a state or local offense that existed – that is	8 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	6(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
an offense for which the maximum sentence is dea	ath or life imprisonment.
an offense for which a maximum prison term of ter	n years or more is prescribed in:
a felony committed after the defendant had been of U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or	convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 local offenses.
any felony that is not a crime of violence but involv	/es:
a minor victim	destanting desires an enverther desires and an envert
a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. §	destructive device or any other dangerous weapon 2250
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while or local offense.	e the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	_ date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumpti person or the community. I further find that defendant has	ion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe as not rebutted that presumption.
Alternative F	indings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant ha	as committed an offense
for which a maximum prison term of ten years or n Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et seq. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
	shed by finding (1) that no condition or combination of condition the safety of the community.
Alternative F	
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear	
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger t	the safety of another person or the community.
Part II – Statement of the	Reasons for Detention
I find that the testimony and information submitted at the devidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	letention hearing establishes by <u></u> clear and convincing
1. Defendant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contes	st detention at this time.
 Defendant is subject to an immigration detainer and would not Defendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to the 	be released in any case.

Part III – Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date: _	September 25, 2013	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	